Editor's Note: The cases in the Index have been classified to conform to the Criminal Law Digest (third edition).

# CONTENTS

# PART I—STATE CRIMES

548	
548	
548 548 548	
4. CAPACITY § 4.00 Alcoholism and drug addiction 548	
ion 548	
d	

# PART II—STATE CRIMINAL PROCEDURES, ANCILLARY PROCEEDINGS

8. PRELIMINARY PROCEEDINGS         \$ 13.195         Expert witnesses         550           § 8.35         Pretrial proceedings         549         \$ 13.315         Hearsay evidence         550           11. DISCOVERY         -Res gestae and spontaneous declarations         550           § 11.00         In general         549         15. JURY           § 11.15         -Statements of witnesses         549         SELECTION           12. GUILTY PLEAS         \$ 15.00         Selection of veniremen         550           § 12.00         Plea bargaining         549         \$ 15.25         Conduct of voir dire         550           § 12.50         -Court's failure to advise defendant of consequences of plea         549         \$ 15.212         Requiring unanimous verdict         550           § 13.18         Statutory alteration to rules of evidence         549         \$ 15.225         Charge on issues of law         550           § 13.18         Statutory alteration to rules of evidence         549         DELIBERATION         DELIBERATION           § 13.156         Evidence obtained under hypnosis         549         17. SENTENCING AND PUNISHMENT				
\$ 13.375 —Res gestae and spontaneous declarations	8. PRELIMINARY PROCEEDINGS			
11. DISCOVERY	8 9 35	Pretrial proceedings 549		
11. DISCOVERY   \$ 11.00   In general	8 6.33	Trettiai proceedings 349	§ 13.375	
\$ 11.00 In general				declarations 550
\$ 11.15 — Statements of witnesses	11. DISC	COVERY		
\$ 11.15 — Statements of witnesses	§ 11.00	In general 549	15. JUR'	V
12. GUILTY PLEAS       \$ 15.00       Selection of veniremen       550         § 12.00       Plea bargaining       549       \$ 15.25       Conduct of voir dire       550         § 12.50       —Court's failure to advise defendant of consequences of plea       549       INSTRUCTIONS         § 13.18       Evidence       \$ 15.212       Requiring unanimous verdict       550         § 13.18       Statutory alteration to rules of evidence       549       Extrajudicial communications       550         ADMISSIBILITY AND WITNESSES       \$ 15.265       Extrajudicial communications       550         § 13.156       Evidence obtained under hypnosis       17. SENTENCING AND PUNISHMENT	§ 11.15	-Statements of witnesses 549		
\$ 12.00 Plea bargaining				SELECTION
\$ 12.00 Plea bargaining	12. GUII	LTY PLEAS	8 15 00	Selection of veniremen 550
\$ 12.50 —Court's failure to advise defendant of consequences of plea	8 12 00	Plea hargaining 549		
defendant of consequences of plea			8 13.23	Conduct of von dife 330
plea	3 12100		INSTRUCTIONS	
\$ 15.212 Requiring unanimous verdict . 550 \$ 15.225 Charge on issues of law				Markochona
\$ 13.18 Statutory alteration to rules of evidence		passing and a second	§ 15.212	Requiring unanimous verdict 550
\$ 13.18 Statutory alteration to rules of evidence			§ 15.225	Charge on issues of law 550
\$ 13.18 Statutory alteration to rules of evidence	13. EVII	DENCE	§ 15.235	Supplemental instructions 550
ADMISSIBILITY AND WITNESSES § 15.265 Extrajudicial communications	§ 13.18	Statutory alteration to rules of		
§ 13.156 Evidence obtained under hypnosis		evidence 549	DELIBERATION	
hypnosis 549	ADM	MISSIBILITY AND WITNESSES	§ 15.265	Extrajudicial communications 550
hypnosis 549	§ 13.156	Evidence obtained under	45 (10)	TELEGRACIA AND PURIOUS CENT
			17. SEN	TENCING AND PUNISHMENT
§ 13.157 Posthypnotic testimony 549	§ 13.157			CONTRACTOR
§ 13.170 Privileged communications 549  SENTENCING				SENTENCING
§ 13.173 Defense-retained psychiatrist 549 § 17.40 Standards for imposing sentence 550	0		§ 17.40	Standards for imposing sentence 550

Editor's Note: The cases in the Index have been classified to conform to the Criminal Law Digest (third edition).

# CONTENTS

# PART I—STATE CRIMES

548	
548	
548 548 548	
4. CAPACITY § 4.00 Alcoholism and drug addiction 548	
ion 548	
d	

# PART II—STATE CRIMINAL PROCEDURES, ANCILLARY PROCEEDINGS

8. PRELIMINARY PROCEEDINGS         \$ 13.195         Expert witnesses         550           § 8.35         Pretrial proceedings         549         \$ 13.315         Hearsay evidence         550           11. DISCOVERY         -Res gestae and spontaneous declarations         550           § 11.00         In general         549         15. JURY           § 11.15         -Statements of witnesses         549         SELECTION           12. GUILTY PLEAS         \$ 15.00         Selection of veniremen         550           § 12.00         Plea bargaining         549         \$ 15.25         Conduct of voir dire         550           § 12.50         -Court's failure to advise defendant of consequences of plea         549         \$ 15.212         Requiring unanimous verdict         550           § 13.18         Statutory alteration to rules of evidence         549         \$ 15.225         Charge on issues of law         550           § 13.18         Statutory alteration to rules of evidence         549         DELIBERATION         DELIBERATION           § 13.156         Evidence obtained under hypnosis         549         17. SENTENCING AND PUNISHMENT				
\$ 13.375 —Res gestae and spontaneous declarations	8. PRELIMINARY PROCEEDINGS			
11. DISCOVERY	8 9 35	Pretrial proceedings 549		
11. DISCOVERY   \$ 11.00   In general	8 6.33	Trettiai proceedings 349	§ 13.375	
\$ 11.00 In general				declarations 550
\$ 11.15 — Statements of witnesses	11. DISC	COVERY		
\$ 11.15 — Statements of witnesses	§ 11.00	In general 549	15. JUR'	V
12. GUILTY PLEAS       \$ 15.00       Selection of veniremen       550         § 12.00       Plea bargaining       549       \$ 15.25       Conduct of voir dire       550         § 12.50       —Court's failure to advise defendant of consequences of plea       549       INSTRUCTIONS         § 13.18       Evidence       \$ 15.212       Requiring unanimous verdict       550         § 13.18       Statutory alteration to rules of evidence       549       Extrajudicial communications       550         ADMISSIBILITY AND WITNESSES       \$ 15.265       Extrajudicial communications       550         § 13.156       Evidence obtained under hypnosis       17. SENTENCING AND PUNISHMENT	§ 11.15	-Statements of witnesses 549		
\$ 12.00 Plea bargaining				SELECTION
\$ 12.00 Plea bargaining	12. GUII	LTY PLEAS	8 15 00	Selection of veniremen 550
\$ 12.50 —Court's failure to advise defendant of consequences of plea	8 12 00	Plea hargaining 549		
defendant of consequences of plea			8 13.23	Conduct of von dife 330
plea	3 12100		INSTRUCTIONS	
\$ 15.212 Requiring unanimous verdict . 550 \$ 15.225 Charge on issues of law				Markochona
\$ 13.18 Statutory alteration to rules of evidence		passing and a second	§ 15.212	Requiring unanimous verdict 550
\$ 13.18 Statutory alteration to rules of evidence			§ 15.225	Charge on issues of law 550
\$ 13.18 Statutory alteration to rules of evidence	13. EVII	DENCE	§ 15.235	Supplemental instructions 550
ADMISSIBILITY AND WITNESSES § 15.265 Extrajudicial communications	§ 13.18	Statutory alteration to rules of		
§ 13.156 Evidence obtained under hypnosis		evidence 549	DELIBERATION	
hypnosis 549	ADM	MISSIBILITY AND WITNESSES	§ 15.265	Extrajudicial communications 550
hypnosis 549	§ 13.156	Evidence obtained under	45 (10)	TELEGRACIA AND PURIOUS CENT
			17. SEN	TENCING AND PUNISHMENT
§ 13.157 Posthypnotic testimony 549	§ 13.157			CONTRACTOR
§ 13.170 Privileged communications 549  SENTENCING				SENTENCING
§ 13.173 Defense-retained psychiatrist 549 § 17.40 Standards for imposing sentence 550	0		§ 17.40	Standards for imposing sentence 550

	Page		Page
	PUNISHMENT		ILLARY PROCEEDINGS
§ 17.101	Imposition of restitution 551	J	IUVENILE PROCEEDINGS
AA BDIG	OVER PROCEEDINGS	§ 21.75	Sentencing and punishment 551
	ONER PROCEEDINGS		and panishing 111 331
§ 20.00	In-prison proceedings 55		
	PART III—FE	EDERAL C	RIMES
24. NAT	URE AND ELEMENTS OF	27. DEF	ENSES
SPEC	CIFIC CRIMES	§ 27.15	Entrapment
§ 24.45 § 24.65 § 24.145 § 24.190	Conspiracy         551           Drug violations         551           Income tax evasion         551           Mail fraud         551	1	
	PART IV—FEDE		
29. PREI	IMINARY PROCEEDINGS	§ 34.235	—Declarations of
§ 29.00 § 29.20	Grand jury proceedings 552 Bail		coconspirators
30 INDI	CTMENT AND INFORMATION	35. THE	TRIAL
§ 30.00	In general 552	§ 35.05	Defendant's right to continuance 553
3 20.00	III golletai	§ 35.50 § 35.70	Conduct of trial judge 553  —Exclusion of evidence 553
31. PRET	TRIAL MOTIONS	§ 35.95	Conduct of prosecutor 553
§ 31.00	Sufficiency of indictment 552		
§ 31.10	Severance 552	§ 35.110	—Comments made during summation
32. DISC	OVERV	§ 33.115	-Comment on defendant's
§ 32.00	In general 552	8 25 120	failure to testify
§ 32.05	-Statements of defendant 552		-Comment on defendant's silence while in custody 554
33. GUII	TY PLEAS		
§ 33.00	Plea bargaining	36. THE	JURY
§ 33.05	-Right to enforce plea bargain 552		SELECTION
34. EVID	DENCE	§ 36.00	Requirement of an impartial jury 554
ADM	IISSIBILITY AND WITNESSES	§ 36.20	Exclusion of jurors in capital
		§ 36.25	Conduct of voir dire 554
§ 34.15	Relevancy and prejudice 552	§ 36.30	Peremptory challenges 554
§ 34.20	Variance between pleading and proof 552	2	NETRICTIONS
§ 34.40	Character and reputation		INSTRUCTIONS
8 24 45	evidence 552		"Allen" dynamite charge 554
§ 34.45	Proof of other crimes to show motive, intent, etc	§ 36.65 § 36.70	Burden of proof
§ 34.100	Prior identification		Duty to charge in defendant's
§ 34.160	Disclosure of identity of		theory of defense 555
	informants		
§ 34.225	Admissions and confessions 553	§ 36.115	Lesser included offenses 555

	Page		Page
	VERDICTS .		BATION AND PAROLE
§ 36.210	Requirement of unanimity 555	§ 40.05 § 40.15	Revocation of probation 556 —Credit for time spent on
37. POS	T-TRIAL MOTIONS	§ 40.20	probation before revocation 556 Standards for determining
§ 37.00	Motion for new trial 555	8 40.20	eligibility for parole 557
§ 37.10	Motion to vacate conviction 555	§ 40:25	Revocation of parole 557
§ 37.30	—Appeal from denial of	§ 40.35	—Due process requirements 557
§ 37.35	collateral relief		
§ 37.40	—Jurisdiction 555	41. PRIS	SONER PROCEEDINGS
§ 37.65	Procedure 555	§ 41.05 § 41.10 § 41.15	Cruel and unusual treatment 557 Segregated prison facilities 557 Freedom of religion 557
38. SEN	TENCING AND PUNISHMENT	§ 41.45	Other actions under Federal Civil Rights Act 557
	SENTENCING	§ 41.55 § 41.60	Medical treatment for prisoners 557 Prison regulations 557
§ 38.10	Presentence report 555	, ,,,,,,	337
§ 38.30 § 38.50	Standards for imposing sentence 556 Resentencing 556	42. ANC	CILLARY PROCEEDINGS
	PUNISHMENT		CONTEMPT
§ 38.60	Credit for time spent in custody	§ 42.10	Procedural requirements 558
3 50.00	prior to sentencing 556	§ 42.18	Appointment of counsel 558
§ 38.70 § 38.100	Multiple punishment 556 Concurrent sentences 556	DEPRIVATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS	
		§ 42.30	In general 558
39. THE	APPEAL		FORFEITURE
§ 39.00 § 39.35	Right to appeal	§ 42.60	In general 558
	PART V—CONSTITUT	IONAL (	GUARANTEES
	TOTAL AND CONFESCIONS	8 44 70	
43. ADM	ISSIONS AND CONFESSIONS	§ 44.30 § 44.38	Opportunity to cross-examine . 559 Videotaped testimony 559
G	ROUNDS FOR EXCLUSION:	3 44.50	videotaped testimony
GENERALLY		45. RIG	HT TO COUNSEL
§ 43.30	Delay in arraignment 558	SC	OPE AND EXTENT OF RIGHT GENERALLY
	TONS OF MIRANDA STANDARDS GROUNDS FOR EXCLUSION	§ 45.05 § 45.20	Right of indigent defendant 559 Right to continuance of trial to
§ 43.60	Prerequisite of custodial interrogation		obtain new counsel 559
§ 43.90	Waiver of Miranda rights 558	TYP	E OR STAGE OF PROCEEDING
§ 43.95	Voluntary and intelligent requirement	§ 45.45	Arraignment and preliminary hearing 559
§ 43.120	Statement to persons other than police	§ 45.80	Habeas corpus and other post-conviction collateral proceedings
44. CON	FRONTATION OF WITNESSES	ADE	QUACY AND EFFECTIVENESS
§ 44.00	In general 559		OF COUNSEL
8 44.05	-Interpretations by state courts 550	8 45 110	Ineffectiveness 550

	· ·	Page		Page
	Failure to assert available defense  Failure to introduce evidence	559	SCOP	PE AND EXTENT OF RIGHT IN GENERAL
§ 45.130	or make objections	559	§ 58.00	What constitutes a search 561
	CONFLICT OF INTEREST		§ 58.05 § 58.10	Constitutionally protected areas 561 Property subject to seizure 562
8 45 150	Representation of co-defendants	560	§ 58.15	—Plain view
8 43.130	Representation of co-defendants	500	§ 58.20 § 58.45	—Abandonment
RIGHT	T TO CONFER WITH COUNSE	L	§ 58.55	Search of parolees 562
§ 45.165	In general	560	D.	SIC FOR MANING SPAROU
§ 45.166	Interpretations by state courts	560	ВА	ASIS FOR MAKING SEARCH AND/OR SEIZURE
46. CRU	EL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMEN	NT	§ 58.75 § 58.80	Search warrant
§ 46.00	In general		§ 58.85	affidavit
§ 46.05	Death penalty	560	§ 58.105	
			§ 58.120	-Manner of making arrest or
47. DOU	BLE JEOPARDY			entering premises as affecting
§ 47.00	In general	560		validity of subsequent arrest or
§ 47.05	-Interpretations by state courts			search
§ 47.10	When jeopardy attaches		§ 58.130	Investigative stops 562
§ 47.20 § 47.25	Mistrials			
§ 47.45	—Reason for grant			
3 47.43	separate and distinct onerses .	300	§ 58.135	In general 562
49 DIE	PROCESS		§ 58.140	-Consent of one of parties to
				telephone conversation 562
§ 48.00	In general		9 38.143	—Recording devices 562
§ 48.05 § 48.10	—Drug violations —Felonious homicide			CONSENT AND WAIVER
§ 48.10	—Sex crimes			CONSENT AND WAIVER
3 40.50	—Sex crimes	301		In general 563
51. FREI	EDOM OF THE PRESS		§ 58.180	—Voluntariness of consent 563
§ 51.00	In general	561	FRUI	TS OF THE POISONOUS TREE
			§ 58.225	Evidence held inadmissible 563
	EDOM OF SPEECH AND RESSION			Evidence held admissible 563
§ 53.00	In general	561		HIBITION AGAINST F-INCRIMINATION
54. IDEN	NTIFICATION PROCEDURES		SELI	-INCRIMINATION
§ 54.05	Showups	561	N	ONTESTIMONIAL ASPECTS
8 34.03	Silowups	201		
58. PRO	HIBITION AGAINST UNLAWFU	T.	§ 59.70	Identifying physical characteristics
	RCHES AND SEIZURES		§ 59.75	Drunk driving tests

# 1988 CASE DIGEST INDEX

# PART I—STATE CRIMES

# 1. VALIDITY OF CRIMINAL STATUTES IN GENERAL

# § 1.00 Statute held not void for vagueness

Pennsylvania Commonwealth v. Hashem, 525 A.2d 744 (Super. 1987), 24 CLB 181. Wiretap statute was not void for vagueness.

# § 1.05 Statute held void for vagueness

Illinois People v. Monroe, 515 N.E.2d 42 (1987), 24 CLB 369. Illinois drug paraphernalia law was unconstitutionally vague.

# 3. NATURE AND ELEMENTS OF SPECIFIC CRIMES

# § 3.200 Manslaughter

# § 3.203 —Attempt

Maryland Cox v. State, 534 A.2d 1333 (1988), 24 CLB 472. Attempted voluntary manslaughter was criminal under common law.

# § 3.210 —Malice, premeditation

Tennessee State v. Thornton, 730 S.W.2d 309 (1987), 24 CLB 67. Killing of paramour in heat of passion is voluntary manslaughter.

# § 3.220 Murder

# § 3.240 -Malice, premeditation

Colorado People v. Jefferson, 748 P.2d 1223 (1988), 24 CLB 469. Amended extreme indifference murder statute did not violate equal protection of the laws.

Washington State v. Ollens, 733 P.2d 984 (1987), 24 CLB 67. Premeditation in a murder case may be shown by multiple wounds.

### § 3.250 Felony murder

California People v. Anderson, 742 P.2d 1306 (1987), 24 CLB 365. Intent to kill is not an element of felony murder special circumstance.

Illinois People v. Brackett, 510 N.E.2d 877 (1987), 24 CLB 271. Intervening cause does not relieve defendant of criminal liability when defendant's criminal actions contributed to victim's death.

# § 3.265 Intoxicated driving

New Jersey State v. Tischio, 527 A.2d 388 (1987), 24 CLB 271. Extrapolation from results of breathalyzer test not admissible as probative evidence in drunk driving prosecution.

# § 3.320 Obscenity

Supreme Court Pope v. Illinois, 107 S. Ct. 1918 (1987), 24 CLB 56. Test for obscene material discussed.

# § 3.390 Sex crimes

Nebraska State v. Willis, 394 N.W.2d 648 (1986), 24 CLB 68. Sexual assault statute abrogates any common-law rule of spousal exclusion.

# 4. CAPACITY

# § 4.00 Alcoholism and drug addiction

Massachusetts Commonwealth v. Brennan, 504 N.E.2d 612 (1987), 24 CLB 181. Psychiatrist's testimony on organic brain syndrome was admissible.

## 6. DEFENSES

# § 6.25 Immunity from prosecution

Colorado People v. Guenther, 740 P.2d 971 (1987) (en banc), 24 CLB 272. Homeowner has burden of proving, by preponderance of evidence, prerequisites to statute granting immunity for force used against unlawful intruder.

### § 6.90 Compulsion

Kansas State v. Hunter, 740 P.2d 559 (1987), 24 CLB 369. Court erred in refusing to instruct jury on defendant's compulsion defense.

# PART II—STATE CRIMINAL PROCEDURES, ANCILLARY PROCEEDINGS

# 8. PRELIMINARY PROCEEDINGS

# § 8.35 Pretrial Proceedings

Oregon Upham v. Bonebrake, 736 P.2d 1020 (1987), 24 CLB 182. Trial judge lacks authority to require state to produce complaining witness for pretrial interview.

### 11. DISCOVERY

# § 11.00 In general

Kansas State v. Dressl, 738 P.2d 830 (1987), 24 CLB 273. Attorney hired by prosecuting witness to assist prosecutor has lesser discovery duty.

# § 11.15 -Statements of witnesses

Utah State v. Knight, 734 P.2d 913 (1987), 24 CLB 68. In discovery, prosecution must disclose all requested material on an ongoing basis.

### 12. GUILTY PLEAS

# § 12.00 Plea bargaining

Washington State v. Perkins, 737 P.2d 250 (1987), 24 CLB 78. The right to appeal may be waived as part of plea bargain.

# § 12.50 —Court's failure to advise defendant of consequences of plea

New Jersey State v. Heitzman, 527 A.2d 439 (1987), 24 CLB 277. Defendant was not entitled to warning of the potential loss of public employment arising from a guilty plea.

### 13. EVIDENCE

# § 13.18 Statutory alteration to rules of evidence

Arizona State v. Robinson, 735 P.2d 801 (1987), 24 CLB 81. Minor Sexual Victim Testimony Act unconstitutionally infringed on court's rule-making authority.

Arkansas Cogburn v. State, 732 S.W.2d 807 (1987), 24 CLB 274. Videotaped tes-

timony of child sexual abuse victim was inadmissible under general statute where provisions of specific statute were not met.

Arkansas Johnson v. State, 732 S.W.2d 817 (1987), 24 CLB 265. Confrontation clause did not require unavailability of child victim as prerequisite to admission of out-of-court statement under statutory hearsay exception.

Kentucky Gaines v. Commonwealth, 728 S.W.2d 525 (1987), 24 CLB 80. Statute usurps court's power to decide whether child is competent witness.

Missouri State v. Williams, 729 S.W.2d 197 (1987) (en banc), 24 CLB 181. Statute declaring child victim of offense against person, family, or sexual offense competent to testify was held constitutional.

# ADMISSIBILITY AND WITNESSES

# § 13.156 Evidence obtained under hypnosis

Illinois People v. Wilson, 506 N.E.2d 571 (1987), 24 CLB 181. Witness's prehypnotic recollection admissible as evidence.

# § 13.157 Posthypnotic testimony

Colorado People v. Romero, 745 P.2d 1003 (1987) (en banc), 24 CLB 366. Posthypnotic testimony was admissible.

# § 13.170 Privileged communications

Minnesota State v. Schneider, 402 N.W.2d 779 (1987), 24 CLB 69. Information defendant provided to expert witnesses who were obtained, but not called, by defense was not protected by attorney-client privilege.

Pennsylvania In re Search Warrant B-21778, 521 A.2d 422 (1987), 24 CLB 70. Business records of the defendant sought by attorney general were not included within attorney-client privilege.

# § 13.173 Defense-retained psychiatrist

Colorado Miller v. District Court, 737 P.2d 834 (1987), 24 CLB 267. Psychiatrist

retained by defendant held agent of defense counsel for purposes of attorneyclient privilege.

Nevada Haynes v. State, 739 P.2d 497 (1987), 24 CLB 267. Opinion testimony of defense-retained psychiatrist, called by the prosecution, but not the defense, as a witness, was admissible.

# § 13.195 Expert witnesses

Georgia Allison v. State, 353 S.E.2d 805 (1987) (per curiam), 24 CLB 181. Testimony of expert witnesses on child sexual abuse syndrome held inadmissible.

Kansas State v. Hodges, 734 P.2d 1161 (1987), 24 CLB 181. Expert testimony questioning validity of battered woman syndrome held to be admissible.

Minnesota State v. Hall, 406 N.W.2d 503 (1987), 24 CLB 278. Expert testimony concerning common behavioral characteristics of sexually abused adolescents was admissible.

Washington State v. Black, 745 P.2d 12 (1987) (en banc), 24 CLB 371. Expert's testimony on "rape trauma syndrome" held inadmissible as evidence.

### § 13.315 Hearsay evidence

# § 13.375 —Res gestae and spontaneous declarations

Rhode Island State v. Paster, 524 A.2d 587 (1987), 24 CLB 181. Statements made by young child determined incompetent to testify were inadmissible hearsay.

# 15. JURY

#### SELECTION

#### § 15.00 Selection of veniremen

Colorado People v. Allee, 740 P.2d 1 (1987), 24 CLB 275. Defendant who was not a party to prior criminal proceeding could not assert doctrine of collateral estoppel.

#### § 15.25 Conduct of voir dire

Iowa State v. Bessenecker, 404 N.W.2d 134 (1987), 24 CLB 181. Prosecuting county attorney could not use rap sheets of prospective jurors during jury selection process.

### INSTRUCTIONS

# § 15.212 Requiring unanimous verdict

North Carolina State v. Smith, 358 S.E.2d 329 (1987), 24 CLB 371. Trial court committed error when it instructed sentencing jury that unanimous verdict was required.

# § 15.225 Charge on issues of law

New Jersey State v. Biegenwald, 524 A.2d 130 (1987), 24 CLB 70. Jury instruction in capital case must state that aggravating factors outweighed mitigating factors beyond a reasonable doubt.

New York People v. Owens, 509 N.E.2d 314 (1987), 24 CLB 276. Where defense counsel objects, trial court may not, after reciting its instructions orally, distribute only certain portions of charges in writing to jury.

# § 15.235 Supplemental instructions

Florida Marr v. State, 494 So. 2d 1139 (1986), 24 CLB 71. Jury instruction singling out testimony of victim of sexual battery for scrutiny was not required.

#### DELIBERATION

### § 15.265 Extrajudicial communications

Pennsylvania Commonwealth v. Williams, 522 A.2d 1058 (1987), 24 CLB 71. Extraevidentiary information gained by jurors before sentencing in murder case warranted reversal of death sentence.

# 17. SENTENCING AND PUNISHMENT

#### SENTENCING

## § 17.40 Standards for imposing sentence

Missouri State v. Schneider, 736 S.W.2d 392 (1987) (en banc), 24 CLB 370. Court did not err in excluding evidence of codefendant's plea agreement during penalty phase of trial.

New Hampshire State v. Cote, 530 A.2d 775 (1987), 24 CLB 269. Sentencing judge may not consider evidence of acquitted charges.

Pennsylvania Commonwealth v. Crawley, 526 A.2d 334 (1987), 24 CLB 272. Court examines commonwealth's burden in establishing aggravating circumstance of murder of witness.

### PUNISHMENT

# § 17.101 Imposition of restitution

Colorado People v. Quinonez, 735 P.2d 159 (1987), 24 CLB 79. Defendant may consent to payment of restitution to victims of uncharged criminal activity as part of plea bargain.

# 20. PRISONER PROCEEDINGS

§ 20.00 In-prison proceedings

Washington In re Johnson, 745 P.2d 864 (1987) (en banc), 24 CLB 371. Evidentiary requirements of due process satisfied where there was "some evidence" of drug use to support prison disciplinary actions.

# 21. ANCILLARY PROCEEDINGS

# JUVENILE PROCEEDINGS

### § 21.75 Sentencing and punishment

Nevada Glenda Kay S. v. State, 732 P.2d 1356 (1987), 24 CLB 72. Where commitment of delinquent juvenile was ordered, judge was required to state reasons on the record before juvenile.

# PART III-FEDERAL CRIMES

# 24. NATURE AND ELEMENTS OF SPECIFIC CRIMES

#### § 24.45 Conspiracy

Court of Appeals, 7th Cir. United States v. Garner, 837 F.2d 1404 (1987), 24 CLB 363. State official misconduct statute constituted proper RICO predicate.

### § 24.65 Drug violations

Court of Appeals, 1st Cir. United States v. Mejia-Lozano, 829 F.2d 268 (1987), 24 CLB 178. Conviction for narcotics importation did not require proof that defendant knew that airplane would land in United States.

### § 24.145 Income tax evasion

Court of Appeals, 7th Cir. United States v. Marrinson, 832 F.2d 1465 (1987), 24 CLB 260. Government may prove tax evasion by showing that defendant's increase in net worth exceeded his reported income.

# § 24.190 Mail fraud

U.S. Supreme Court McNally v. United States, 107 S. Ct. 2875 (1987), 24 CLB 56. Mail fraud conviction may not be based on deprivation of "intangible rights."

Court of Appeals, 1st Cir. United States v. Ochs, 842 F.2d 515 (1988), 24 CLB 464. Intangible rights jury instruction constituted reversible error.

Court of Appeals, 7th Cir. United States v. Cooke, 833 F.2d 109 (1987), 24 CLB 263. Mail fraud statute did not apply to schemes to defraud persons of intangible rights.

Court of Appeals, 11th Cir. United States v. Italiano, 837 F.2d 1480 (1988), 24 CLB 364. Jury instruction in mail fraud case relying on intangible right of citizenry to honest government was defective.

# 27. DEFENSES

# § 27.15 Entrapment

U.S. Supreme Court Mathews v. United States, 108 S. Ct. 883 (1988), 24 CLB 360. Defendant is entitled to entrapment instruction even if he denies an element of the crime.

Court of Appeals, 9th Cir. United States v. Tallmadge, 829 F.2d 767 (1987), 24 CLB 180. Defendant who was told by licensed firearm dealer that he could purchase firearm cannot be prosecuted for firearms violation.

# PART IV-FEDERAL PROCEDURES

### 29. PRELIMINARY PROCEEDINGS

# § 29.00 Grand jury proceedings

Court of Appeals, 4th Cir. United States v. Hefner, 842 F.2d 731 (1988), 24 CLB 467. Defendant's conviction upheld despite grand jury foreman's lack of qualifications to serve.

Court of Appeals, 4th Cir. United States v. Coughlin, 842 F.2d 737 (1988), 24 CLB 468. Government's disclosure of grand jury testimony in civil forfeiture proceeding against a car violated the grand jury disclosure rule.

Court of Appeals, 8th Cir. In re Larry Wood, 833 F.2d 113 (1987), 24 CLB 263. Acquitted defendant may apply for presentment to grand jury about perjury of FBI agent.

# § 29.20 Bail

U.S. Supreme Court United States v. Salerno, 107 S. Ct. 2095 (1987), 24 CLB 56. A defendant may be denied bail based on "future dangerousness."

Court of Appeals, 4th Cir. King v. Jones, 824 F.2d 324 (1987), 24 CLB 63. Arrestee had no right to appear before magistrate during probable cause determination.

## 30. INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION

# § 30.00 In general

Court of Appeals, 1st Cir. United States v. Acevedo, 842 F.2d 502 (1988), 24 CLB 464. Ten-month delay between alleged drug transaction and defendants' indictment did not violate their due process rights.

### 31. PRETRIAL MOTIONS

### § 31.00 Sufficiency of indictment

# § 31.10 Severance

Court of Appeals, 8th Cir. United States v. Grey Bear, 828 F.2d 1286 (1987), 24 CLB 176. Joinder of eleven defendants in a murder trial was prejudicial misjoinder.

#### 32. DISCOVERY

# § 32.00 In general

# § 32.05 —Statements of defendant

Court of Appeals, 1st Cir. United States v. Law, 828 F.2d 871 (1987), 24 CLB 174. Government's failure to turn over taped conversation between defendant and federal agents was not a *Brady* violation.

### 33. GUILTY PLEAS

# § 33.00 Plea bargaining

# § 33.05 -Right to enforce plea bargain

Court of Appeals, 5th Cir. United States v. DKG Appaloosas, Inc., 829 F.2d 532 (1987), 24 CLB 179. Pre-plea agreement that defendant's property would not be subject to forfeiture only applied to property known to government at the time of the agreement.

### 34. EVIDENCE

### ADMISSIBILITY AND WITNESSES

### § 34.15 Relevancy and prejudice

U.S. Supreme Court Rock v. Arkansas, 107 S. Ct. 2704 (1987), 24 CLB 57. Hypnotically refreshed testimony may not properly be excluded.

# § 34.20 Variance between pleading and proof

Court of Appeals, D.C. Cir. In re Sealed Case, 832 F.2d 1268 (1987), 24 CLB 259. Personal jurisdiction was necessary in order to enforce subpoena for records.

Court of Appeals, 1st Cir. United States v. Glenn, 828 F.2d 855 (1987), 24 CLB 174. Variance between the conspiracy charges in indictment and defendant's own understanding of the conspiracy warranted new trial.

# § 34.40 Character and reputation evidence

Court of Appeals, 2d Cir. United States v. Fernandez, 829 F.2d 363 (1987), 24 CLB

179. Cooperation agreement was admissible into evidence only if credibility of witness has been challenged on cross-examination.

# § 34.45 Proof of other crimes to show motive, intent, etc.

Court of Appeals, 1st Cir. United States v. Simon, 842 F.2d 552 (1988), 24 CLB 465. Defendant's 1980 conviction for cultivating marijuana was admissible in narcotics trial.

# § 34.100 Prior identification

U.S. Supreme Court United States v. Owens, 108 S. Ct. 838 (1988), 24 CLB 359. Introduction of victim's out-of-court identification of defendant as his assailant was proper.

Court of Appeals, 6th Cir. United States v. Monroe, 833 F.2d 95 (1987), 24 CLB 262. Witness's view of defendant in jail clothing in custody shortly before trial did not impermissibly taint identification.

Court of Appeals, 9th Cir. United States v. Browne, 829 F.2d 760 (1987), 24 CLB 180. In-court identification of bank robber did not invalidate witness's review of bank surveillance photos.

# § 34.160 Disclosure of identity of informants

Court of Appeals, 5th Cir. United States v. Santisteba, 833 F.2d 513 (1987), 24 CLB 266. Use of testimony of paid informant was not grounds for reversal.

Court of Appeals, 10th Cir. United States v. Hernandez, 829 F.2d 988 (1987), 24 CLB 180. Identity of informants referred to in wiretap application was not subject to disclosure.

# § 34.225 Admissions and confessions § 34.235 —Declarations of coconspirators

U.S. Supreme Court Bourjaily v. United States, 107 S. Ct. 2775 (1987), 24 CLB 57. Court need not make an inquiry into independent indicia of reliability of coconspirator's statement.

Court of Appeals, D.C. Cir. United States v. Perholtz, 842 F.2d 343 (1988), 24 CLB

463. A coconspirator's statement was admissible even though a conspiracy was not formally charged in the indictment.

Court of Appeals, 1st Cir. United States v. McNatt, 842 F.2d 564 (1988), 24 CLB 466. Improper admission of coconspirator's statements did not require reversal.

Court of Appeals, 10th Cir. United States v. Smith, 833 F.2d 213 (1987), 24 CLB 264. Tape-recorded conversations between defendant's wife and government informant were admissible.

# § 34.260 —Use of prior testimony

Court of Appeals, 5th Cir. United States v. McDonald, 837 F.2d 1287 (1988), 24 CLB 362. Civil deposition testimony was not admissible against government in criminal prosecution.

### 35. THE TRIAL

# § 35.05 Defendant's right to continuance

Court of Appeals, 10th Cir. United States v. West, 828 F.2d 1468 (1987), 24 CLB 177. Denial of continuance did not deprive defendant of fair trial.

#### § 35.50 Conduct of trial judge

# § 35.70 -Exclusion of evidence

U.S. Supreme Court Taylor v. Illinois, 108 S. Ct. 646 (1988), 24 CLB 359. Sixth amendment was not violated by exclusion of testimony of material defense witness.

Court of Appeals, 9th Cir. United States v. Emmert, 829 F.2d 805 (1987), 24 CLB 180. Narcotics conviction upheld despite exclusion of coconspirator's testimony about alleged threats by government agents.

New Jersey State v. Sugar, 527 A.2d 1377 (1987), 24 CLB 370. Victim's body admissible as evidence under inevitable discovery doctrine.

# § 35.95 Conduct of prosecutor

Court of Appeals, 1st Cir. United States v. Hoffman, 832 F.2d 1299 (1987), 24 CLB 259. Prosecutor's threat to indict defense

witness for perjury insufficient grounds for reversal.

Court of Appeals, 1st Cir. Campbell v. Fair, 838 F.2d 1 (1988), 24 CLB 360. Prosecution's failure to correct key witness's testimony was not grounds for reversal.

Court of Appeals, 2d Cir. United States v. McCormack, 829 F.2d 322 (1987), 24 CLB 179. The prosecution's interruption of judge's charge to the jury did not require reversal.

# § 35.100 Discretion to prosecute

# § 35.110 —Comments made during summation

Court of Appeals, 1st Cir. United States v. Santana-Camacho, 833 F.2d 371 (1987), 24 CLB 265. Prosecutor's erroneous remark that defendant was an illegal alien was grounds for reversal.

Court of Appeals, 5th Cir. United States v. Zabaneh, 837 F.2d 1249 (1988), 24 CLB 361. Prosecutor's closing argument that depositions of defense witnesses should not be believed because they did not testify in person was not plain error.

Court of Appeals, 6th Cir. Beam v. Foltz, 832 F.2d 1041 (1987), 24 CLB 260. Prosecutor's comment that defense had job of proving defendant innocent does not require grant of habeas corpus writ.

# § 35.115 —Comment on defendant's failure to testify

U.S. Supreme Court United States v. Robinson, 108 S. Ct. 864 (1988), 24 CLB 359. Prosecutor's comments during summation on defendant's failure to take stand were proper.

# § 35.120 —Comment on defendant's silence while in custody

U.S. Supreme Court Greer v. Miller, 107 S. Ct. 3102 (1987), 24 CLB 57. Prosecutor's question about defendant's postarrest silence did not require reversal.

# 36. THE JURY

# SELECTION

§ 36.00 Requirement of an impartial jury

U.S. Supreme Court Tanner v. United States, 107 S. Ct. 2739 (1987), 24 CLB 57. Evidence of drug or alcohol use by jurors did not require reversal.

# § 36.20 Exclusion of jurors in capital cases

U.S. Supreme Court Gray v. Mississippi, 107 S. Ct. 2045 (1987), 24 CLB 57. Exclusion of juror for cause in a capital case may require reversal.

# § 36.25 Conduct of voir dire

U.S. Supreme Court Buchanan v. Kentucky, 107 S. Ct. 2906 (1987), 24 CLB 58. A "death qualified" jury may be used in joint trial where death penalty is sought against only one defendant.

Court of Appeals, D.C. Cir. United States v. Gordon, 829 F.2d 119 (1987), 24 CLB 178. Defendant's right to be present during jury selection upheld.

Court of Appeals, 2d Cir. United States v. Resto, 824 F.2d 210 (1987), 24 CLB 63. Joint voir dire of jury panel for two cases was not improper.

Court of Appeals, 5th Cir. United States v. Ford, 824 F.2d 1430 (1987), 24 CLB 63. Magistrates may be delegated duty to preside over jury selection process.

### § 36.30 Peremptory challenges

Court of Appeals, 4th Cir. United States v. Meredith, 824 F.2d 1418 (1987), 24 CLB 64. Defendants in multidefendant trial were not entitled to more than ten peremptory challenges.

# INSTRUCTIONS

# § 36.50 "Allen" dynamite charge

U.S. Supreme Court Lowenfield v. Phelps, 108 S. Ct. 546 (1988), 24 CLB 358. Combination of polling of jury and supplemental instruction encouraging jury to reach verdict in capital murder case was noncoercive.

### § 36.65 Burden of proof

U.S. Supreme Court Yates v. Aiken, 108 S. Ct. 534 (1988), 24 CLB 358. Decision

requiring invalidation of conviction due to improper burden-shifting instruction should be applied retroactively.

Court of Appeals, 1st Cir. Doucette v. Bose, 842 F.2d 538 (1988), 24 CLB 464. Instruction in murder trial that shifted burden of disproving malice aforethought to defendant did not require a reversal.

Court of Appeals, 9th Cir. Walker v. Endell, 828 F.2d 1378 (1987), 24 CLB 177. Burden of proving defense of duress did not properly lie with defendant.

Court of Appeals, 9th Cir. United States v. Crowson, 828 F.2d 1427 (1987), 24 CLB 177. Government had burden of showing that immunized testimony was not used improperly.

# § 36.70 Character evidence

Court of Appeals, 3d Cir. United States v. Spangler, 838 F.2d 85 (1988), 24 CLB 361. Court's refusal to give requested instruction by defense on character evidence was not grounds for reversal.

# § 36.85 Duty to charge in defendant's theory of defense

Court of Appeals, 9th Cir. United States v. Lesina, 833 F.2d 156 (1987), 24 CLB 263. Defendant charged with murder was entitled to jury instruction on murder by accident.

# § 36.110 Intent and willfulness

Court of Appeals, 4th Cir. Hyman v. Aiken, 824 F.2d 1405 (1987), 24 CLB 64. Jury may not be properly instructed that malice was presumed from intentional doing of unlawful act.

Court of Appeals, 10th Cir. United States v. Manriquez-Arbizo, 833 F.2d 244 (1987), 24 CLB 264. Trial court's "deliberate ignorance" charge was proper.

# § 36.115 Lesser included offenses

Court of Appeals, 11th Cir. Jackson v. Dugger, 837 F.2d 1469 (1988), 24 CLB 363. Jury instruction in capital murder case that "death was presumed to be appropriate sentence" violated Eighth Amendment.

Court of Appeals, 11th Cir. Corn v. Kemp, 837 F.2d 1474 (1988), 24 CLB 363. Burden-shifting instruction in case where defendant relied on insanity defense was not harmless error.

#### VERDICTS

# § 36.210 Requirement of unanimity

Court of Appeals, 3d Cir. United States v. Beros, 833 F.2d 455 (1987), 24 CLB 266. Failure to give general unanimity instruction required reversal.

### 37. POST-TRIAL MOTIONS

### § 37.00 Motion for new trial

Court of Appeals, 10th Cir. United States v. Page, 828 F.2d 1476 (1987), 24 CLB 177. Motion for new trial denied despite recantation of trial testimony by key witness.

### § 37.10 Motion to vacate conviction

# § 37.30 —Appeal from denial of collateral relief

U.S. Supreme Court Hilton v. Braunskill, 107 S. Ct. 2113 (1987), 24 CLB 58. "Risk of flight" is not the only relevant issue in deciding whether to stay habeas corpus relief.

# § 37.35 Federal habeas corpus

## § 37.40 - Jurisdiction

Court of Appeals, 5th Cir. Czere v. Butler, 833 F.2d 59 (1987), 24 CLB 262. Improper advice from attorney as to parole eligibility was a basis for habeas corpus relief.

### § 37.65 Procedure

Court of Appeals, 7th Cir. Turner v. Henman, 829 F.2d 612 (1987), 24 CLB 180. Parole commission's failure to follow own administrative rules did not entitle prisoner to habeas corpus relief.

# 38. SENTENCING AND PUNISHMENT

### SENTENCING

# § 38.10 Presentence report

U.S. Supreme Court Booth v. Maryland, 107 S. Ct. 2529 (1987), 24 CLB 58. "Victim impact statement" was not admissible in sentencing phase of capital murder trial.

Court of Appeals, D.C. Cir. United States v. Fogel, 829 F.2d 77 (D.C. Cir. 1987), 24 CLB 177. Court's refusal to allow defendant to present evidence to rebut government's sentencing memo was proper.

Court of Appeals, 1st Cir. United States v. Santiago, 828 F.2d 866 (1987), 24 CLB 174. Validity of presentence report was not a proper subject of appeal when a full opportunity had been given to contest it at sentencing.

Court of Appeals, 3d Cir. United States v. Katzin, 824 F.2d 234 (1987), 24 CLB 64. Court may correct sentence imposed in violation of requirement that it resolve all factual disputes.

# § 38.30 Standards for imposing sentence

U.S. Supreme Court Miller v. Florida, 107 S. Ct. 2446 (1987), 24 CLB 59. Revised sentencing guidelines could not be applied to crimes occurring before effective date.

Court of Appeals, 5th Cir. Williams v. Lynaugh, 837 F.2d 1294 (1988), 24 CLB 362. Denial of opportunity for defendant in capital murder case to introduce evidence of his mental condition did not merit stay of execution.

Court of Appeals, 5th Cir. Brogdon v. Butler, 824 F.2d 338 (1987), 24 CLB 64. Evidence of aggravated rape to prove first-degree murder as well as enhance sentence did not invalidate death sentence.

Court of Appeals, 11th Cir. Stone v. Dugger, 837 F.2d 1477 (1988), 24 CLB 363. Jury instruction in capital murder case that only statutory mitigating evidence may be considered was improper.

# § 38.50 Resentencing

Court of Appeals, 3d Cir. United States v. Guevremont, 829 F.2d 423 (1987), 24 CLB 179. Change of sentence to add a probationary term was proper.

# **PUNISHMENT**

§ 38.60 Credit for time spent in custody prior to sentencing

Court of Appeals, 11th Cir. Palmer v. Dugger, 833 F.2d 253 (1987), 24 CLB 265. State prisoners had constitutional right to credit for time served prior to extradition.

# § 38.70 Multiple punishment

Court of Appeals, 9th Cir. United States v. Wicks, 833 F.2d 192 (1987), 24 CLB 264. Offenses prosecuted at same trial may be considered as "previous convictions" at sentencing.

# § 38.100 Concurrent sentences

Court of Appeals, 3d Cir. United States v. Aguilar, 843 F.2d 735 (1988), 24 CLB 467. Separate sentences for conspiracy and for engaging in a continuing enterprise were invalid.

### 39. THE APPEAL

# § 39.00 Right to appeal

Court of Appeals, 1st Cir. United States v. Larouche Campaign, 829 F.2d 259 (1987), 24 CLB 178. Denial of motion to dismiss indictment based on alleged grand jury abuses was not immediately appealable.

Court of Appeals, 3d Cir. Feigley v. Fulcomer, 823 F.2d 29 (1987), 24 CLB 261. Escape from prison barred right to appeal.

# § 39.35 Scope of appellate review

U.S. Supreme Court Ray v. United States, 107 S. Ct. 2093 (1987), 24 CLB 59. Monetary assessment on each count required appellate court to review all counts on which the defendant was convicted.

#### **40. PROBATION AND PAROLE**

# § 40.05 Revocation of probation

Court of Appeals, 3d Cir. United States v. Camarata, 828 F.2d 974 (1987), 24 CLB 175. Sentencing court has authority to revoke defendant's probation for actions occurring prior to commencement of probation.

# § 40.15 —Credit for time spent on probation before revocation

Court of Appeals, 2d Cir. Weeks v. Quinlan, 838 F.2d 41 (1988), 24 CLB 360. Federal parolee was not entitled to credit for time served in state prison while on parole.

# § 40.20 Standards for determining eligibility for parole

U.S. Supreme Court Board of Pardons v. Allen, 107 S. Ct. 2415 (1987), 24 CLB 59. Prisoner has a due process interest in parole release.

Court of Appeals, 5th Cir. Ceniceros v. United States Parole Comm'n, 837 F.2d 1358 (1988), 24 CLB 362. Parole commission found to have violated its own regulations by considering charge for which defendant was acquitted in determining probable parole date.

# § 40.25 Revocation of parole

# § 40.35 —Due process requirements

U.S. Supreme Court Griffin v. Wisconsin, 107 S. Ct. 3164 (1987), 24 CLB 59. A probationer's home may properly be searched without a warrant.

#### 41. PRISONER PROCEEDINGS

## § 41.05 Cruel and unusual treatment

Court of Appeals, 5th Cir. Foulds v. Corley, 833 F.2d 52 (1987), 24 CLB 262. Dismissal of prisoner's pro se § 1983 action based on disciplinary proceeding was premature.

Court of Appeals, 6th Cir. Grosedose v. Dutton, 829 F.2d 581 (1987), 24 CLB 179. Finding that conditions in cell block housing death sentence inmates was cruel and unusual was erroneous.

# § 41.10 Segregated prison facilities

Court of Appeals, 5th Cir. Dzana v. Foti, 829 F.2d 558 (1987), 24 CLB 179. Prisoner facing disciplinary segregation was entitled to a hearing.

# § 41.15 Freedom of religion

U.S. Supreme Court O'Lone v. Estate of Shabazz, 107 S. Ct. 2400 (1987), 24 CLB

60. Court would not review prison regulations prohibiting Islamic inmates from attending Friday religious services.

# § 41.45 Other actions under Federal Civil Rights Act

Court of Appeals, D.C. Cir. Morgan v. District of Columbia, 824 F.2d 1049 (1987), 24 CLB 65. A prisoner may be awarded damages for injury sustained in jailhouse fight.

Court of Appeals, 1st Cir. Lyons v. Powell, 838 F.2d 28 (1988), 24 CLB 360. Confinement in jail cell for 22 to 23 hours per day was sufficient grounds for civil rights action.

Court of Appeals, 1st Cir. Cortes-Quinones v. Jimenez-Mettleship, 842 F.2d 556 (1988), 24 CLB 465. Prison officials were not entitled to qualified immunity in § 1983 action relating to a prisoner's death.

Court of Appeals, 2d Cir. Gill v. Mooney, 824 F.2d 192 (1987), 24 CLB 65. An inmate has no liberty or property interest in a prison job.

Court of Appeals, 3d Cir. Jones v. Connell, 833 F.2d 503 (1987), 24 CLB 266. Federal court had no power to order state corrections official to follow state law.

### § 41.55 Medical treatment for prisoners

Court of Appeals, 7th Cir. Murphy v. Lane, 833 F.2d 106 (1987), 24 CLB 262. Mere negligence on part of government physician in diagnosing inmate's medical condition was not an Eighth Amendment violation.

# § 41.60 Prison regulations

Court of Appeals, D.C. Cir. Abbott v. Meese, 824 F.2d 1166 (1987), 24 CLB 65. Prison regulation prohibiting inmate-to-inmate correspondence was improper.

Court of Appeals, 5th Cir. Davis v. Carlson, 837 F.2d 1318 (1988), 24 CLB 362. Prisoner's wife did not have right to conjugal visits.

# 42. ANCILLARY PROCEEDINGS CONTEMPT

# § 42.10 Procedural requirements

Court of Appeals, 2d Cir. Sassower v. Sheriff of Westchester County, 824 F.2d 184 (1987), 24 CLB 65. Criminal contempt citation may be properly imposed without trial or evidentiary hearing.

# § 42.18 Appointment of counsel

U.S. Supreme Court Young v. United States ex rel. Vuitton et Fils, 107 S. Ct. 2124 (1987), 24 CLB 60. Appointment of private attorneys to prosecute criminal contempt actions was improper when party was beneficiary of court order.

# DEPRIVATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS

# § 42.30 In general

U.S. Supreme Court Hewitt v. Helms, 107

S. Ct. 2672 (1987), 24 CLB 60. Inmate was not entitled to attorney's fees in civil rights action.

U.S. Supreme Court Forrester v. White, 108 S. Ct. 538 (1988), 24 CLB 358. State court judge did not have absolute immunity from civil rights damage suit.

Court of Appeals, 10th Cir. Specht v. Jensen, 832 F.2d 1516 (1987), 24 CLB 261. Police officers who conducted warrantless search of office and home were not to be entitled to qualified immunity from civil damages.

### **FORFEITURE**

# § 42.60 In general

Court of Appeals, 2d Cir. United States v. Robilotto, 828 F.2d 940 (1987), 24 CLB 175. RICO forfeiture statute did not require that proceeds of racketeering activities be traced to identifiable assets.

# PART V—CONSTITUTIONAL GUARANTEES

# 43. ADMISSIONS AND CONFESSIONS

GROUNDS FOR EXCLUSION; GENERALLY

# § 43.30 Delay in arraignment

Arkansas Duncan v. State, 726 S.W.2d 653 (1987), 24 CLB 81. Incriminating statements obtained from defendant during delay in violation of prompt appearance rule excluded.

# VIOLATIONS OF MIRANDA STANDARDS AS GROUNDS FOR EXCLUSION

# § 43.60 Prerequisite of custodial interrogation

Court of Appeals, D.C. Cir. United States v. Friedrick, 842 F.2d 382 (1988), 24 CLB 463. Administrative interview of FBI agent was held under grant of immunity.

Court of Appeals, 2d Cir. United States v. Okwumabua, 828 F.2d 950 (1987), 24 CLB 175. Government agents need not identify themselves during noncustodial interview.

# § 43.90 Waiver of Miranda rights

# § 43.95 —Voluntary and intelligent requirement

Arizona State v. Carrillo, 750 P.2d 883 (1988), 24 CLB 469. Police conduct was not overreaching despite defendant's mental shortcomings.

# § 43.120 Statement to persons other than police

U.S. Supreme Court Arizona v. Mauro, 107 S. Ct. 1931 (1987), 24 CLB 61. Tape recording of conversation between defendant and his wife did not violate *Miranda*.

# 44. CONFRONTATION OF WITNESSES

## § 44.00 In general

U.S. Supreme Court Kentucky v. Stincer, 107 S. Ct. 2658 (1987), 24 CLB 61. Defendant may be properly excluded from hearing on competency of child witnesses.

# § 44.05 —Interpretations by state courts

Pennsylvania Commonwealth v. Ludwig, 531 A.2d 459 (Super. 1987), 24 CLB 371. Right of confrontation not violated when child witness permitted to testify via closed circuit television.

# § 44.30 Opportunity to cross-examine

South Carolina State v. Cooper, 353 S.E.2d 451 (1987), 24 CLB 73. Videotaping witness's testimony outside defendant's presence did not violate right of confrontation.

# § 44.38 Videotaped testimony

Court of Appeals, 5th Cir. United States v. Guardian-Salazar, 824 F.2d 344 (1987), 24 CLB 66. Videotaped depositions of government witnesses were inadmissible.

#### 45. RIGHT TO COUNSEL

SCOPE AND EXTENT OF RIGHT GENERALLY

### § 45.05 Right of indigent defendant

Wisconsin State v. Lehman, 403 N.W.2d 438 (1987), 24 CLB 73. Trial court has inherent power to appoint counsel for indigent defendant appearing pro se.

# § 45.20 Right to continuance of trial to obtain new counsel

Court of Appeals, D.C. Cir. United States v. Rettaliata, 833 F.2d 361 (1987), 24 CLB 265. Denial of defendant's plea for new counsel was proper.

Court of Appeals, 4th Cir. United States v. Gallop, 838 F.2d 105 (1988), 24 CLB 361. Indigent defendant was not entitled to substitution of counsel.

# TYPE OR STAGE OF PROCEEDING

# § 45.45 Arraignment and preliminary hearing

Rhode Island State v. Mattatall, 525 A.2d 49 (1987), 24 CLB 180. Statements made during telephone conversation to which police were listening were obtained in violation of right to counsel.

# § 45.80 Habeas corpus and other post-conviction collateral proceedings

U.S. Supreme Court Pennsylvania v. Finley, 107 S. Ct. 1990 (1987), 24 CLB 62. Prisoner has no right to appointed counsel in a postconviction proceeding.

# ADEQUACY AND EFFECTIVENESS OF COUNSEL

### § 45.110 Ineffectiveness

Court of Appeals, 3d Cir. Diggs v. Owens, 833 F.2d 439 (1987), 24 CLB 266. Failure of counsel to object to consecutive sentences was not grounds for reversal.

Court of Appeals, 7th Cir. Lewis v. Lane, 832 F.2d 1446 (1987), 24 CLB 260. Defense counsel's stipulation to nonexistence of defendants' prior convictions constituted ineffective assistance of counsel.

# § 45.120 —Failure to assert available defense

Court of Appeals, 1st Cir. Casale v. Fair, 833 F.2d 386 (1987), 24 CLB 265. Counsel in murder trial was not ineffective even though he failed to investigate possibility that others had motive to kill victim.

# § 45.130 —Failure to introduce evidence or make objections

Ccart of Appeals, 5th Cir. Bell v. Lynaugh, 828 F.2d 1085 (1987), 24 CLB 176. Defense attorney was not ineffective for failing to present evidence of defendant's mental retardation in death penalty case.

Court of Appeals, 6th Cir. Blackburn v. Foltz, 828 F.2d 1177 (1987), 24 CLB 176. Defense counsel was ineffective when he gave erroneous advice concerning possible use of prior convictions.

Florida State v. Bolender, 503 So. 2d 1247 (1987), 24 CLB 74. Eschewal of nebulous nonstatutory mitigating evidence during sentencing in favor of proportionality argument was not ineffective assistance of counsel.

# CONFLICT OF INTEREST

§ 45.150 Representation of co-defendants

U.S. Supreme Court Burger v. Kemp, 107 S. Ct. 3114 (1987), 24 CLB 61. Representation of two indictees by same law firm did not require reversal.

# RIGHT TO CONFER WITH COUNSEL

# § 45.165 In general

# § 45.166 —Interpretations by state courts

Connecticut State v. Mebane, 529 A.2d 680 (1987), 24 CLB 269. Denial of right of consultation between defendant and attorney during brief recess requires reversal.

# 46. CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT

# § 46.00 In general

Court of Appeals, 1st Cir. United States v. Jimenez-Rivera, 842 F.2d 545 (1988), 24 CLB 465. Sentence of up to ninety-nine years' imprisonment in arson case was not excessive.

# § 46.05 Death penalty

U.S. Supreme Court Sumner v. Shuman, 107 S. Ct. 2716 (1987), 24 CLB 61. Mandatory death penalty for prison inmate convicted of murder was unconstitutional.

New Jersey State v. Ramseur, 524 A.2d 188 (1987), 24 CLB 181. New Jersey death penalty act upheld.

### 47. DOUBLE JEOPARDY

### § 47.00 In general

U.S. Supreme Court Ricketts v. Adamson, 107 S. Ct. 2680 (1987), 24 CLB 62. Breach of a plea agreement was grounds for trial on original charges.

# § 47.05 —Interpretations by state courts

Rhode Island State v. lovino, 524 A.2d 556 (1987), 24 CLB 80. Purported acquittal of charges did not bar trial justice from later submitting case to jury on those charges.

# § 47.10 When jeopardy attaches

Court of Appeals, 2d Cir. United States v. Muhammad, 824 F.2d 214 (1987), 24 CLB

66. Consecutive sentences for continuing criminal enterprise and RICO did not violate double-jeopardy clause.

### § 47.20 Mistrials

Court of Appeals, 9th Cir. United States v. Govro, 833 F.2d 135 (1987), 24 CLB 263. Magistrate's termination of trial in defendant's favor barred retrial.

New York People v. Catten, 508 N.E.2d 920 (1987), 24 CLB 181. Retrial of defendant following declaration of mistrial without his consent barred by double jeopardy provisions.

# § 47.25 —Reason for grant

Georgia Tieu v. State, 358 S.E.2d 247 (1987), 24 CLB 276. Defendant not placed in legal jeopardy by earlier proceeding in which individual other than defendant was brought into court and placed on trial.

# § 47.45 Separate and distinct offenses

Court of Appeals, 2d Cir. United States v. Nersesian, 824 F.2d 1294 (1987), 24 CLB 66. Prior drug conviction did not bar subsequent prosecution for drug conspiracy covering same time period.

Illinois People v. Jackson, 514 N.E.2d (1987), 24 CLB 368. Prior driving-underthe-influence prosecution did not bar reckless homicide prosecution under double jeopardy principles.

South Carolina State v. Carter, 353 S.E.2d 875 (1987), 24 CLB 75. Double-jeopardy claim prohibited defendant's conviction for reckless homicide after being convicted of DUI.

#### **48. DUE PROCESS**

### § 48.00 In general

U.S. Supreme Court United States v. Mendoza-Lopez, 107 S. Ct. 2148 (1987), 24 CLB 62. Statute permitting imposition of criminal penalty for reentry after deportation violates due process.

Florida Haliburton v. State, 514 So. 2d 1088 (1987), 24 CLB 368. Police failure to inform defendant that his attorney was in

station house and wished to speak to him violated due process.

# § 48.05 —Drug violations

Louisiana State v. Spooner, 520 So. 2d 336 (1988), 24 CLB 472. Cash seized near narcotics cannot be statutorily presumed forfeitable.

# § 48.10 —Felonious homicide

Connecticut State v. Stoddard, 537 A.2d 446 (1988), 24 CLB 470. Suspect must be informed of attorney's offer to help him.

Tennessee State v. Bobo, 727 S.W.2d 945 (1987), 24 CLB 76. Only convictions entered prior to hearing may be used as "triggering acts" of mass murder aggravating circumstances for purposes of death penalty statute.

# § 48.30 —Sex crimes

Illinois People v. Foggy, 521 N.E.2d 86 (1988), 24 CLB 471. Confidentiality of rape victim's statements to counselor upheld.

### 51. FREEDOM OF THE PRESS

### § 51.00 In general

Court of Appeals, 2d Cir. In re CBS Inc., 828 F.2d 958 (1987), 24 CLB 175. News media was entitled to copy of videotaped deposition introduced in criminal case.

Court of Appeals, 2d Cir. In re Dow Jones & Co., 842 F.2d 603 (1988), 24 CLB 466. "Gag" order relating to trial publicity upheld.

# 53. FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND EXPRESSION

### § 53.00 In general

Hawaii State v. Kam, 748 P.2d 372 (1988), 24 CLB 470. Hawaii's constitution's right to privacy includes right to obtain pornography.

# 54. IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURES

### § 54.05 Showups

New York People v. Riley, 517 N.E.2d

520 (1987), 24 CLB 370. Showup identification evidence held inadmissible.

# 58. PROHIBITION AGAINST UNLAWFUL SEARCHES AND SEIZURES

SCOPE AND EXTENT OF RIGHT IN GENERAL

## § 58.00 What constitutes a search

Court of Appeals, D.C. Cir. Jones v. McKenzie, 833 F.2d 335 (1987), 24 CLB 265. Mandatory drug testing for school district employees was reasonable requirement.

Court of Appeals, 2d Cir. Wilkinson v. Forest, 832 F.2d 1330 (1987), 24 CLB 259. Searches of persons entering rally sites did not violate Fourth Amendment.

Pennsylvania Commonwealth v. Johnston, 530 A.2d 74 (1987), 24 CLB 268. Reasonable suspicion justifies warrantless canine sniff for drugs in common area of storage facility.

#### § 58.05 Constitutionally protected areas

Court of Appeals, 2d Cir. United States v. Zapata-Tamallo, 833 F.2d 25 (1987), 24 CLB 261. Defendant did not lack sufficient legitimate expectation of privacy as to exclude bag in apartment found to contain drugs.

Court of Appeals, 4th Cir. United States v. Mehra, 824 F.2d 297 (1987), 24 CLB 66. Textile company had no expectation of privacy against search and seizure.

1

Florida Riley v. State, 511 So. 2d 282 (1987), 24 CLB 367. Defendant had reasonable expectation of privacy in greenhouse and its contents from aerial observations of police.

Pennsylvania Commonwealth v. Lemanski, 529 A.2d 1085 (Super. 1987), 24 CLB 371. Police use of binoculars to observe greenhouse violated defendant's Fourth Amendment rights.

### § 58.10 Property subject to seizure

# § 58.15 —Plain view

Washington State v. Bell, 737 P.2d 254

(1987) (en banc), 24 CLB 181. Police did not need warrant to seize evidence in plain view of fire fighters.

# § 58.20 —Abandonment

Minnesota State v. Krech, 403 N.W. 634 (1987), 24 CLB 79. Defendant had no reasonable expectation of privacy in garbage left in trash can of multiparty residence.

# § 58.45 Official government inspections

U.S. Supreme Court New York v. Burger, 107 S. Ct. 2636 (1987), 24 CLB 63. Statute may properly authorize warrantless inspections of vehicles in automobile junkyards.

# § 58.55 Search of parolees

California People v. Bravo, 738 P.2d 336 (1987) (en banc), 24 CLB 364. Probation search condition permitting search without warrant also permitted search without "reasonable cause."

# BASIS FOR MAKING SEARCH AND/OR SEIZURE

§ 58.75 Search warrant

# § 58.80 —Sufficiency of underlying affidavit

Washington State v. Coates, 735 P.2d 64 (1987), 24 CLB 76. Search warrant is valid if affidavit contains sufficient facts to establish probable cause.

Washington State v. Thetford, 745 P.2d 496 (1987), 24 CLB 371. Agent's involvement with police so great that he no longer qualified as private individual for purposes of challenging veracity of affidavit for search warrant.

# § 58.85 -Validity of warrant on its face

Nebraska State v. Pecha, 407 N.W.2d 760 (1987), 24 CLB 275. Search warrant containing catchall phrase "John and/or Jane Doe" was invalid general warrant.

#### § 58.105 Search incident to a valid arrest

Court of Appeals, 8th Cir. Arcoren v. Peters, 829 F.2d 671 (1987), 24 CLB 180.

Pat-down search was proper part of arrest procedure.

### § 58.120 —Manner of making arrest or entering premises as affecting validity of subsequent arrest or search

New York People v. Kozlowski, 505 N.E.2d 611 (1987), 24 CLB 77. Incriminating evidence was not obtained by alleged illegal entry of police into defendant's home to make arrest.

# § 58.130 Investigative stops

California Ingersoll v. Palmer, 743 P.2d 1299 (1987), 24 CLB 365. Operation of sobriety checkpoints held to be constitutional within certain limitations.

Washington State v. Wheeler, 737 P.2d 1005 (1987), 24 CLB 270. Handcuffing defendant and transporting him two blocks for identification did not exceed permissible scope of investigative stop.

### ELECTRONIC EAVESDROPPING

§ 58.135 In general

§ 58.140 —Consent of one of parties to telephone conversation

Massachusetts Commonwealth v. Blood, 507 N.E.2d 1029 (1987), 24 CLB 182. One-party consent to transmission or recording of conversation does not obviate need for warrant.

### § 58.145 —Recording devices

Florida State v. Hume, 512 So. 2d 185 (1987), 24 CLB 367. Interception and simultaneous transmission of conversation through eavesdropping device did not violate state and federal constitutions.

#### CONSENT AND WAIVER

§ 58.170 In general

§ 58.180 -Voluntariness of consent

Court of Appeals, 5th Cir. United States v. Gonzales, 842 F.2d 748 (1988), 24 CLB 468. Search of defendant's bag was by consent even though defendant was not informed of her right to refuse the search.

# FRUITS OF THE POISONOUS TREE § 58.225 Evidence held inadmissible

Colorado People v. Dandrea, 736 P.2d 1211 (1987), 24 CLB 277. Contents of package seized after pat-down search of defendant in civil protective custody was inadmissible as evidence.

# § 58.230 Evidence held admissible

New Jersey State v. Curry, 532 A.2d 721 (1987), 24 CLB 370. Despite illegal seizure in another state, evidence previously subpoenaed by state held admissible in conspiracy trial.

# 59. PROHIBITION AGAINST SELF-INCRIMINATION

NONTESTIMONIAL ASPECTS

# § 59.70 Identifying physical characteristics

Louisiana State v. Martin, 519 So. 2d 87 (1988), 24 CLB 471. Defendant's display of tattoos to jury does not waive privilege against self-incrimination.

# § 59.75 Drunk driving tests

Colorado Cox v. People, 735 P.2d 153 (1987), 24 CLB 182. State constitutional privilege against self-incrimination did not provide greater protection than that available under Fifth Amendment.